



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,026	06/30/2003	Alan M. Zamore	2003-5	7377
23401	7590	09/07/2007	EXAMINER	
ALAN M ZAMORE			SERGENT, RABON A	
23 MOUNTAIN AVE			ART UNIT	
MONSEY, NY 10952			PAPER NUMBER	
			1711	
			MAIL DATE	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			09/07/2007	
			PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10608026	6/30/2003	ZAMORE, ALAN M.	2003-5

ALAN M ZAMORE
23 MOUNTAIN AVE
MONSEY, NY 10952

EXAMINER

Rabon Sergent

ART UNIT**PAPER**

1711

20070904

DATE MAILED:


Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on June 15, 2007 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): In response to the prior art rejection of claim 34, applicant has stated that claim 34 has been modified to species of PEBA polymers containing Nylon 12; however, the claim has not been amended as argued. Applicant's argued Nylon 12 amendment pertains to the copoly(ether-ester-amide) copolymer as opposed to (a) the polyamide/polyether block (PEBA) copolymer, and applicant has not clearly established that the copoly(ether-ester-amide) copolymer is fully equivalent the polyamide/polyether block (PEBA) copolymer. Accordingly, applicant's response fails to adequately respond to the prior art rejection. Furthermore, it is noted that applicant's amendment to claim 34 raises issues of lack of antecedence, since "copoly(ether-ester-amide) copolymer" has not been previously referred to in the claim. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number (571) 272-1079.

R. Sergent
September 4, 2007


Rabon Sergent
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1711